

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,682	07/09/2002	Antonius Emmerink	449122025400	4834
25227 MORRISON &	7590 07/05/200 & FOERSTER LLP	7	EXAMINER	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD		•	JAIN, RAJ K	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			. 2616	
		•		
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·	•		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,682	EMMERINK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raj K. Jain	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
		ONTU(C) OD TUIDTY (20) DAY	C
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/	17/07.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,2,5,10-15 and 19-21 is/are reject	ed.		
7) Claim(s) 3,4,6-9 and 16-18 is/are objected t	0.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2002</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l (d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a		ropoived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, <del></del>	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 10-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiomoto et al (US006731628B1) in view of Rao et al (US006757823B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Shiomoto discloses providing a communications link (Fig. 1) between at least two local devices TE-1, TE-2 in a transport network by local switching (LS) centers associated with the local devices (TE);

using a connection information item defining a timeslot connection (see abstract, col 2 lines 10-20, 40-50, timeslot information is created to define the routing of packets which is the information item for connection of the link.) via a switching matrix representing a first control information item; and providing a protocol information item representing a second control information item for the central control device and/or for the local devices to select communications protocols to be used and useable transport media (The header of the packet contains IP protocol information that contains the packet routing information, see claim 1.)

Shiomoto fails to disclose communications system setup and/or disconnect of communications link.

Art Unit: 2616

Rao discloses a method of providing secure signaling connections for packet data network telephony calls (see Fig. 3 and col 1 line 65 – col 2 line 5. Call setup is performed between H.323 devices such as phones and protocol conversion control performed via the H.323 gateways (Fig. 1). Rao discloses a simplified and secure call setup and tear down procedure for voice and data communications amongst different devices within an IP telephony network.

Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Rao within Shiomoto so as to provide a simplified and secure call setup and tear down procedure for voice and data communications amongst different devices within an IP telephony network.

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Shiomoto discloses media information via the routing tables (see col 3 lines 40-50.) used by the local and transit switches to route packets from source to destination.

Regarding claim 5, Shiomoto discloses the information item (see abstract) as the timeslot connection information is provided to the LS and TS switches accordingly.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Shiomoto discloses devices may be arranged centrally and/or locally in the area of the first device (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 14, Rao discloses conversion devices (Gateways see Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 15, Shiomoto discloses a general circuit switched network.

The use of an Ethernet connection is inherent to the network as TE devices are shown in Fig. 1.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 19-21, Shiomoto and Rao disclose an integrated communications IP telephony system with a PC (Fig. 1 of Shiomoto) or a telephone (Fig. 1 of Rao) accordingly.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4, 6-9, 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 April 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claim 1, applicant contends the cited references fail to teach "communications system setup and/or disconnect of communications link".

The examiner respectfully disagrees, while Shiomoto does not disclose this limitation, Rao cures this deficiency. Rao discloses a method of providing secure signaling connections for packet data network telephony calls (see Fig. 3 and col 1 line 65 – col 2 line 5. Call setup is performed between H.323 devices such as phones and protocol conversion control performed via the H.323 gateways (Fig. 1). H.323 is an ITU standard defining a set of call control, channel setup, and codec specifications for transmitting real-time audio and video over packet data networks, (col 2 lines 58-61) emphasis added. The H.323 gateway serves as the "device" by which the "controlling"

Art Unit: 2616

functionality of the H.323 protocol is achieved. Thus Shimoto in combination with Rao clearly and explicitly disclose the cited limitation of applicant's claims and therefore the rejection for claim 1 is sustained.

With respect to motivation for combining, Examiner believes the motivation to be proper and therefore disagrees with the applicant. According to MPEP 2144 [R-5] here in part;

"The reason or motivation to modify the reference may often suggest what the inventor has done, but for a different purpose or to solve a different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant (emphasis added)."

Thus again the examiner fully believes that the reasons for combining are valid since it improves network efficiency and therefore the rejection is sustained.

Furthermore, applicant contends in the page 7 of the "Remarks" here in part:

"....applicants submit that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to modify Shiomoto in view of Rao because Rao teaches a setup method for secure communications. This requires steps beyond simple setup steps, which leads to overhead. Shiomoto itself teaches that such overhead is undesirable (see Background of the Invention)."

The Examiner failed to find where Shiomoto discloses "overhead is undesirable" in the (Background of the Invention). Thus applicant's contention is moot.

Once again, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiomoto et al in view of Rao et al fully discloses all limitations of applicant's claim 1 and therefore the rejection is sustained.

Claim 10 for same reasons as claim 1 is also not patentable. The remaining claims are either properly rejected due to their respective dependencies or stated as allowable.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raj K. Jain whose telephone number is 571-272-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2616

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raj K. Jain /Raj K. Jain/

Art Unit 2616

July 2, 2007